Exhaustive mutational analysis of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 ORF3a: An essential component in the pathogen’s infectivity cycle
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Abstract
Detailed knowledge of a protein’s key residues may assist in understanding its function and designing inhibitors against it. Consequently, such knowledge of one of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2)’s proteins is advantageous since the virus is the etiological agent behind one of the biggest health crises of recent times. To that end, we constructed an exhaustive library of bacteria differing from each other by the mutated version of the virus’s ORF3a viroporin they harbor. Since the protein is harmful to bacterial growth due to its channel activity, genetic selection followed by deep sequencing could readily identify mutations that abolish the protein’s function. Our results have yielded numerous mutations dispersed throughout the sequence that counteract ORF3a’s ability to slow bacterial growth. Comparing these data with the conservation pattern of ORF3a within the coronavirinae provided interesting insights: Deleterious mutations obtained in our study corresponded to conserved residues in the protein. However, despite the comprehensive nature of our mutagenesis coverage (108 average mutations per site), we could not reveal all of the protein’s conserved residues. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that our study unearthed positions in the protein pertinent to channel activity, while other conserved residues may correspond to different functionalities of ORF3a. In conclusion, our study provides important information on a key component of SARS-CoV-2 and establishes a procedure to analyze other viroporins comprehensively.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

1.1 | Virus and mutations

We are surrounded by viruses that pose a continuous challenge to our well-being. A seemingly endless number of mutations constantly vitiate the benefit of vaccinations and the drugs we use. The recent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak epitomized the above traits, whereby reappearing disease waves were spurred by the emergence of new strains (Hossain et al., 2021; Zhou & Wang, 2021).

The underlying cause of rapid viral evolution is the low replication fidelity of the viral genome due to the poor ability of their polymerases to avoid or correct errors. The rapid evolution is particularly evident in RNA viruses characterized by their high mutation rate, between 0.4 and 1.1 nucleotide errors per genome per round of replication (Bradwell et al., 2013; Lauber et al., 2013). This high mutation rate leads to various mutations, and consequently, cognate antiviral agents may become ineffective (Assa et al., 2016; Drake et al., 1998).

Because of the antagonistic interplay between the quickly changing fitness requirements of the virus and the maintenance of its essential functions, viruses undergo strong and diverse selective forces, leading to new variants possessing mutations in the genome (Pal et al., 2021). The mutation rate is itself subject to natural selection, and the mutations are fundamental building blocks of the evolutionary process—they constitute the variation (alongside other factors) upon which natural selection can act and are the cause of much of the novelty we see occur in evolution (Owen, 2010; Upadhyay et al., 2021).

1.2 | Coronavirinae and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is a member of the Coronavirinae subfamily of the Coronaviridae. The virus is the etiological agent of the unusual viral pneumonia that started in Wuhan, China, leading to the pandemic outbreak called COVID-19 (Cucinotta & Vanelli, 2020). The virus that causes COVID-19 has a close similarity in sequence to the SARS-CoV-1, which caused the emergence of SARS in southern China in 2002/2003 (Fowler et al., 2010; Issa et al., 2020). Furthermore, The genome of SARS-CoV-2 showed 96.2% sequence similarity to a bat SARS-related coronavirus [13]. Studies show that many viruses closely related to SARS-CoV-2 have been circulating in horseshoe bats, indicating that recombination probably played a role in the evolutionary history of SARS-CoV-2 (Boni et al., 2020; Lytras et al., 2022). Together, SARS-CoV-2 and similar viruses form the sarbecovirus lineage within betacoronavirus.

As of September 6, 2022, the World Health Organization reported 600,366,479 confirmed cases of COVID-19, including a death toll of 6,460,493 deaths, a number that is still growing (https://covid19.who.int). Due to the fast spread of the virus around the globe and the development of mutations in SARS-CoV-2—dozens of variants spread among us. The disease spread has led to the constant fear that variants may develop higher distribution capabilities and resistance to vaccines that currently exist in the market. In particular, it was shown that even single mutations in specific proteins could change the pathogenicity of these viruses (Luk et al., 2019).

1.3 | Viral ion channels and ORF3a protein

Viruses exploit and modify host-cell ion homeostasis in favor of viral infection by using various viral-encoded virroporins (Gonzalez & Carrasco, 2003; Madan et al., 2008). Viroporins are small virally encoded hydrophobic proteins that function as ion channels—a group of membrane-spanning proteins that allow an ion flux across the cell membrane (Gonzalez & Carrasco, 2003; Hille, 1992; Nieva et al., 2012). Viroporins constitute a large family of multifunctional proteins broadly distributed in different viral families and are mainly concentrated in RNA viruses (Nieva et al., 2012). Highly pathogenic human viruses, such as SARS-CoV-2, encode more than one viroporin (Ewart et al., 1996). Ion channel activity is relevant for the virus’s spread and may significantly impact host-cell ionic milieu and physiology (Nieva et al., 2012; Steinmann & Pietschmann, 2010; Wozniak et al., 2010). The loss of ion homeostasis triggered by the ion channel activity may have deleterious consequences for the cell, from stress responses to apoptosis (Bhowmick et al., 2012; Madan et al., 2008; Nieva et al., 2012). Thus using ion channels as new targets for therapeutic intervention represents a promising strategy (Charlton et al., 2020; Hover et al., 2017). An example of this importance can be found in the fight against the influenza virus: Amantadine, the second antiviral compound ever approved (Davies et al., 1964), targets the M2 protein (Hay et al., 1985) by blocking its proton channel activity (Pinto et al., 1992).

ORF3a, or by its second name, 3a protein, is a viral protein located in the genome of SARS-CoV-2, between the S and E proteins, and encodes a protein of 275 amino acids (Lu et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). The protein forms
a homotetrameric potassium-selective viroporin and may modulate virus release (Bianchi et al., 2021; Lu et al., 2006). A recent study determined the structure of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a protein using Cryo-EM (Kern et al., 2021; Mousavizadeh & Ghasemi, 2021).

Studies have shown six functional domains within the structure of the ORF3a protein: signal peptide, residues 0–15; TRAF3-binding motif, residues 36–40; ion channel activity, residues 91–133; caveolin-binding motif, residues 141–149; Yxxψ motif, residues 160–163; and Diacidic motif, residues 171–173 (Issa et al., 2020). Moreover, position 81–160 was found to be a cysteine-rich region, where residue C133 was found to be important for homodimerization (Lu et al., 2006).

Great effort has been put into finding the effect of different mutations in ion channel proteins and SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a viroporin, thereby formulating an offensive strategy against the virus. Still, an effective method to exhaustively screen protein mutations and discover the mutations’ effect on that protein has not been employed (Bianchi et al., 2021; Issa et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2021).

1.4  Aim of this study

The importance of monitoring mutations in different variants has emphasized the need for safe, effective, and simple assays to identify said modifications. In this manner, we can predict areas that are important for drug development in addition to areas that are already resistant to the drugs and vaccines in current use. In this study, utilizing the power of bacterial genetic selection, we devise a process of comprehensive random mutagenesis to monitor the effect of mutations in viroporins in general and in ORF3a in particular (Cirino et al., 2003).

2  RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To that end, we employed an approach based on random mutagenesis coupled with genetic selection. Since the experiments are conducted on an isolated viral protein in *Escherichia coli*, they do not carry any medical risk whatsoever. Specifically, we have previously shown that ORF3a’s channel functionality is deleterious to bacteria due to excess membrane permeabilization (Tomar et al., 2021a). Consequently, one can identify mutations harmful to the protein by inducing protein expression, selecting viable bacteria, and determining the specific mutations by deep sequencing. Finally, the mutations obtained in the study can be compared with those found in circulating viral strains, thereby gaining insight into the various functional roles the protein may have.

2.1  Library construction

Random mutagenesis was employed to evaluate each position’s importance to protein function exhaustively. To determine the extent of mutagenesis coverage, we sequenced the gene from colonies in which the protein was not induced and consequently did not alter bacterial viability. In other words, the resulting library is indifferent to the particular modifications and, as such, represents the genetic variation that can subsequently be analyzed.

Results of 322,747 different sequences shown in Figure 1b yield an average of 105 mutations per residue with a standard deviation of 149. Furthermore, out of 5225 possible mutation types (19 mutations per each of the 275 amino acids), we obtained 2195 mutations, yielding a coverage of 42%. Together, both metrics indicate a comprehensive mutagenesis library. In further discussion, this library, where the protein was not induced, is eponymously termed an “uninduced library.”

2.2  Impact of selection

With an exhaustive library of protein variants in hand, we could proceed to genetic selection because ORF3a channel activity is deleterious to bacterial viability (Tomar et al., 2021a). Results of 305,333 mutations shown in Figure 1c exhibit a marked difference from the mutation library without protein expression. The library obtained upon protein induction (termed “induced library”) is far more punctuated than the uninduced library. While the average number of mutations was similar, 108, the SD, 265, was significantly higher.

The uninduced and induced libraries shown in Figure 1 included an itemization and abundance of each mutation. For further analysis, we calculated a single value for each residue by summing each modification multiplied by its amino acid similarity according to an amino acid substitution matrix. The results shown in Figure S1 demonstrate once more the stark difference between the two libraries as a consequence of the protein’s impact on bacteria phenotype.

To better evaluate our findings, we determined the over-representation of mutations in the induced library relative to the uninduced library: Mutations that abolish the protein’s harmful activity are likely to be more prevalent when the protein is expressed. To that end, we calculated the number of occurrences of a specific mutation in the induced library divided by the number
of representations of the same mutation in the uninduced library. The results, shown in Figure 2, point to several residues that are up to 20 times more common in the induced library than in the uninduced library. When taken into consideration with the data of the induced library, we can surmise that these mutations represent deleterious hotspots that most likely interfere with protein function.

We subsequently proceeded to project the deleterious mutation hotspots identified above on the protein structure. Interestingly, as shown in Figure 3, hotspots did not concentrate in one location in the protein or one secondary structure element. Instead, the harmful modification hotspots we found are dispersed along the ORF3a gene, even though studies show that the ion conductance pathway is concentrated only in a small part of the ORF3a gene (Issa et al., 2020).
2.3 Existing ORF3a variations

The exhaustive search presented above has unearthed positions in the ORF3a protein whose mutations in our assay system are pernicious to the protein's activity. Such spots can be compared with variations in the protein sequence found in circulating strains of SARS-CoV-2 and to the same protein in different members of the coronavirinae. Those variations have evolved through natural evolution and thus are expected to be compatible with the protein's activity.

2.3.1 Variation within the coronavirinae

Positions found as conserved in the coronavirinae subfamily represent sites in the ORF3a protein that are presumably essential for function. Such locations may overlap with the deleterious mutation hotspots we have identified experimentally. To that end, we used the UniProt database (UniProt Consortium, 2021) to download 11 sequences with similar functioning and a sequence identity of 80%–100% to the SARS-CoV-2 gene. Thereafter, multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of the aforementioned proteins yielded the results shown in Figure 4.

Careful inspection of the outcome indicates that sequence conservation is observed throughout the protein, with 49% of residues found identical in all genes.

2.3.2 Variation within SARS-CoV-2

Following the conservation analysis between ORF3a from different members of the coronavirinae, we turned to analyze conservation within a single species. Such an analysis benefits from the large-scale sequencing efforts of SARS-CoV-2 conducted due to the COVID-19 pandemic. For that reason, we compared 807,520 sequences of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a, yielding the mutation frequencies seen in Figure 5. The detailed changes of every amino acid are depicted in Figure S2.

Close inspection of the mutations in the population highlights several residues that are particularly common, of which S26L is the most prevalent, seen in 205,955 samples (25% of our database). After it, Q57H, T223I, and G172V were the most common mutations, seen in 108,744, 48,561, and 38,043 of the sequences, respectively. Clearly, these common mutations are part of the natural viral evolution and may be directly beneficial to the virus's survival.

2.3.3 Comparison of existing ORF3a variation with experimental results

Having determined the current 3a conservation patterns, we can compare these characteristics with our experimental results. We began by examining the similarity...
between the conservation within the coronavirinae (Figure 4) and our study’s outcome (Figure 1b). The results of this analysis, shown in Figure 6, are revealing. Poorly conserved residues are not frequently found in our experimental results (i.e., the right bottom triangle is empty). To reiterate, residues that change between different 3a sequences were not identified in our study as genetically selected mutations that impair protein function. Interestingly, however, the opposite correlation did not exhibit such an injective correlation with our results: While some conserved residues within the coronavirinae were identified in our study, others were not.

It is possible to rationalize the above results based on the different origins of the two data sets. Conservatively speaking, our genetic selection identified residues that negate 3a’s ability to impair bacterial growth. The harm to bacteria derives from 3a’s channel activity, and by deduction, our study selected residues that hinder the protein’s conductivity. As a side note, we recognize the residues can impair channel activity directly, for example, by blocking the ion’s pathway. However, residues may indirectly undermine conductivity, for example, by preventing the protein from folding correctly.

In contrast, the conservation observed within different members of the coronavirinae may reflect additional attributes of the protein. Therefore, it follows that our study would not uncover residues that underpin other functionalities beyond channel formation. Hence, it is tempting to speculate that conserved residues not found in our exhaustive study (>108 mutations per residue) are essential to additional functions of the proteins, as of yet undiscovered. In addition, one can speculate that a significant percentage of the similarity between these homologs rises due to their shared origin and not necessarily due to selective pressure. Hence, conservation per se may be routed in factors other than functional relevance.

Next, we compared the experimentally determined deleterious mutation hotspots with the conservation pattern in a single virus species. The scenario is more straightforward in this instance, as shown in Table 1. As expected, there is an inverse correlation between the two datasets, whereby highly mutable residues in SARS-CoV-2 variants (see Figure 5) were scarcely observed in our study. In contrast, the top deleterious mutations in our study were not observed in circulating strains. Hence, one may speculate that the divergence within a single species is insufficient to report on functions other than channel formation.

We also note that mutation T223I is an anomaly in the results since it is enriched in the selection but frequently occurs in the natural population. Due to the use of six primes when dividing the sequencing library (an inherent deficiency of our next-generation sequencing approach), we could not detect a combination of more than one mutation. Therefore, we can conclude that, in this case, an additional mutation may have compensated for the effect of the first mutation.

### 3 CONCLUSIONS

The purpose of this study was to examine residues whose activity is essential to protein conductivity exhaustively. Using genetic selection, we could identify various mutations that do not congregate in one particular protein region but are dispersed throughout the sequence. Comparison to sequence conservation analysis within the coronavirinae was particularly insightful. While deleterious mutations found in the study were invariably conserved, not every conserved residue was found in our research. These insights may highlight residues that, while not crucial for channel formation, may be essential to other attributes. Furthermore, while our genetic selection technique is backed up by former studies on other
**Figure 4** Multiple sequence alignment of the ORF3a family. The sequences from top to bottom are: P0DTC3, A0A0K1Z045, A0A0U1WHG9, D3KDM6, E0X1Z4, P59632, Q3I5J4, Q3LZX0, A0A8F1CXK9, Q3ZTF2, A0A6G6A1N1, and A0A023PTR5. The yellow diagram represents the calculated alignment score, and at the bottom the logo at that position. Amino acids are color-coded according to their physicochemical properties given by Jalview (Waterhouse et al., 2009), which was used to conduct the multiple sequence alignment using the default parameters.
proteins (Astrahan et al., 2011; Lahiri & Arkin, 2022; Taube et al., 2014; Tomar et al., 2019, 2021b, 2022), we cannot fully discount the possibility that the E. coli system in this instance is not fully representative of the native environment in the viral host. Thus the functional threshold may not be the same as the physiological one.

4 | METHODS AND MATERIALS

4.1 | Library construction

4.1.1 | ORF3a cloning

ORF3a (gene number: P0DTC3) gene was ordered as a gBlock from IDT (Coralville, Iowa). The strain P0DTC3 of ORF3a was used as a reference genome because this strain was the first to be identified from the first patients in Wuhan, China (Wu et al., 2020). It was subsequently inserted using Gibson assembly (NEBL; Ipswich, Massachusetts) into the pMAL-p2X vector (NEBL), in which the protein is fused to the carboxy terminus of the maltose binding protein. The reaction was incubated for 30 min at 50°C. Subsequently, the plasmid was transformed into Escherichia coli DH10B cells (Durfee et al., 2008) and seeded on an LB agar plate with 100 μg/ml ampicillin at 37°C overnight. This construct has proven particularly suited for expressing numerous other viroporins (Astrahan et al., 2011; Taube et al., 2014; Tomar et al., 2019, 2021b, 2022).

4.1.2 | Random library construction

Random mutagenesis of the WT ORF3a gene was performed with the Genemorph II Random Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent; Santa Clara, California) according to the manufacturer's conditions for medium mutation frequency. The resulting PCR products were subsequently inserted in the pMAL-p2X vector (NEBL) using Gibson assembly.


### TABLE 1 Correlation between ORF3a deleterious mutations found in this study and the protein sequence variation of circulating SARS-CoV-2 variants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>Occurrences</th>
<th>Over-representation (induced/uninduced)</th>
<th>Occurrences</th>
<th>Abundance in population (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S209T</td>
<td>3152</td>
<td>3.8675</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S60P</td>
<td>2316</td>
<td>5.4112</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.0117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N137T</td>
<td>2179</td>
<td>3.8028</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A99V</td>
<td>2129</td>
<td>4.883</td>
<td>757</td>
<td>0.0931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P138T</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>7.4046</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A39V</td>
<td>1899</td>
<td>2.277</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F87Y</td>
<td>1889</td>
<td>5.0643</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L129F</td>
<td>1885</td>
<td>6.9557</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>0.0594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D142E</td>
<td>1843</td>
<td>4.9543</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L214P</td>
<td>1747</td>
<td>4.044</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P104S</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>7029</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S165F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.125</td>
<td>7204</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S253P</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.1451</td>
<td>9876</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P42L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>26,497</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E239Q</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>28,099</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L106F</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.4872</td>
<td>37,157</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G172V</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.5135</td>
<td>38,043</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T223I</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>2.1749</td>
<td>48,561</td>
<td>5.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q57H</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.4876</td>
<td>108,744</td>
<td>13.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S26L</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.9552</td>
<td>205,955</td>
<td>25.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

### 4.1.3 Preliminary analysis using sanger sequencing

Confirmation of mutation rate was conducted by Sanger sequencing. Specifically, the transformation of the library was performed into Escherichia coli XL10-Gold bacteria (Agilent). Forty-four colonies were isolated and grown overnight in LB at 37°C. The growth culture was diluted, and the bacteria were grown in secondary culture in LB until reaching an O.D.600 of 0.07–0.1. The bacteria were then divided into 96-well flat-bottomed plates (Nunc; Roskilde, Denmark) to a final volume of 100 μl per well with 100 μM of isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The plate was incubated for 16 h at 30°C in a Synergy 2 multidetection microplate reader (Biotek; Winooski, Vermont) or Infinite 20 (Tecan Group; Männedorf, Switzerland) at a constant high shaking rate. O.D.600 readings were recorded every 15 min. For all measurements, duplicates were conducted. Ampicillin and D-glucose were added to all growth media to a final concentration of 100 μg/ml and 1%, respectively. Finally, the plasmid of each colony was isolated and sequenced by Sanger sequencing.

### 4.2 Genetic selection

The genetic selection was conducted as described previously in detail (Tomar et al., 2021a). In brief, increased channel concentration results in membrane permeabilization and commensurate bacterial death. Control of protein expression is achieved by modifying the amount of the IPTG. Bacterial growth under this genetic assay allowed only colonies with specific mutations that impair protein function to survive and continue growing on the IPTG plate.

In total, 100 μl of DH10B bacteria transformed with the two libraries were seeded into two 30 ml agar plates...
with 25 μl /100 μl with 100 μg /ml ampicillin and 1% D-glucose. In order to control our genetic selection, 100 μM of IPTG was added to the plate. After growing the plates overnight at 37°C, the colonies were collected, and the mutants’ plasmids were extracted from the colonies using a midi-prep kit (Biomiga; San Diego, California).

### 4.3 Deep-sequencing

Next-generation sequencing employed Illumina dye sequencing technology (San Diego, California) following the manufacturer's protocol. Due to the length of the gene, the sequence was read in three parts using six primers. Each primer was designed to have an overhang sequence according to the required protocol.

#### 4.3.1 Bioinformatic analyses

Initial data filtration was conducted using the following Galaxy Bioinformatic tools: Filter fastq—used to filter reads above the quality of 20 (Blankenberg et al., 2010); Fastq trimmer—used to trim the end or the start of reads with quality below average (Blankenberg et al., 2010); Reverse-complement—used to reverse complement the REV reads to match 5′–3′ reading (Gordon & Hannon, 2010). For visualization, we used FastQC. All further analyses were conducted with an in-house script using the R studio programming language (R Core Team, 2020), available upon request S1. Finally, the sequences were compared with the WT sequence using pairwise alignment, which excluded sequences with stop codons, reads containing missing nucleotides, or reads containing more than ten mutations per segment.

### 4.4 ORF3a mutations in population

Two different strategies were employed to evaluate the sequence variation of ORF3a: diversity between various members of the coronavirus and within variants of SARS-CoV-2.

Eleven different proteins with diverse sequence similarity (8%–100%) were selected for the ORF3a sequence conservation analysis. The sequences were compared by pairwise alignment with the EMBOSS needle tool employing the BLOSUM62 substitution matrix (Needleman & Wunsch, 1970). MSA was conducted using Jalview (Waterhouse et al., 2009).

The NCBI VIRUS database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/labs/virus/vssi/) was accessed on May 1, 2022, to download SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a sequences. Out of 2.3 million sequences, 807,520 complete sequences with a length of 275 amino acids were utilized for further analyses using in-house R programming scripts.
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